

# There are languages that are non-regular

 $Pal = \{w \mid w = w^R\} ⊆ \{0, 1\}^*$ 

*Pal* is not regular:

- The pumping Lemma:
  - Let *n* be the associated constant
  - Let  $w = 0.10^{\circ}$ .  $|w| = 2n + 1^{\circ}$
  - If *Pal* is regular w = xyz, such that  $|xy| \le n$  and |y| > 0; y is a sequence of 0's at the end of the first group:  $x = 0^{j}$  and  $y = 0^{j}$ , such that  $i \ge 0$ , j > 0, i + j = n so  $|xy| = |0^{j}0^{j}| = |0^{n}| \le n$  and |v| = j > 0.
  - Let m = 0:
    - $-xy^m z = xz = 0^i 10^n \notin Pal$  as i < n
- *Pal* cannot be represented through a *RE* or a FA

Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-C

### Recursive definition of languages

- Recursive definition of a language:
- Define composite strings of in the language as a function of more simple strings in the language
- Recursive definition of Pal
  - Basis:  $\Lambda$ , 0 and  $1 \in Pal$
- Induction: if  $w \in Pal$  then 1w1 and 0w0

r. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 200

### Recursive definition of languages

- A CFG is a notation to express this kind of recursive definitions
  - Variables represent classes of strings (i.e. grammatical categories and languages)
  - Constants represent the lexical symbols in  $\Sigma$
- Production rules of the form  $\alpha \to \beta$

 $\alpha$  can be rewritten as  $\beta$  in any context Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 200

# Recursive definition of Pal- Basis: $\Lambda$ , 0 and $1 \in Pal$ - Induction: if $w \in Pal$ then 1w1 and 0w0The grammar of Pal: $0110 \in Pal$ $1. P \rightarrow \Lambda$ $1. P \Rightarrow 0P0$ $2. P \rightarrow 0$ $2. \Rightarrow 01P10$ $3. P \rightarrow 1$ $3. \Rightarrow 01\Lambda10$

Recursive definition of languages

$P \rightarrow 1$	3. $\Rightarrow 01\Lambda 10$
$P \to 0P0$	= 0110
5. $P \rightarrow 1Pl$	

### Recursive definition of a language: Example 2 Recursive definition of $L_{exp}$ (Non-regular) Basis: a Induction: if $w \in L$ then w + w | w \* w | (w) $a + (a * a) \in L$ : The CFG: 1. $E \Longrightarrow E + E$ by 2 by 1 $\Rightarrow a + (E)$ by 4 $\Rightarrow a + (E * E)$ 4. $E \rightarrow (E)$ $\Rightarrow a + (a * E)$ by 1 $\Rightarrow a + (a * a)$ by 1

Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 2003

### Formal definition of CFG A context-free grammar (CFG) is a 4-tuple $G = (V, \Sigma, S, P),$

### where:

- V is a set of variables (non-terminal symbols,
- syntactic categories, types of strings)
- $-\Sigma$  is the alphabet (terminal or lexical symbols)
- $-S \in V$  is the start symbol (sentence, program)
- *P* is a set of grammar rules or productions of the form:  $A \rightarrow \gamma$  (the productions of *A*)
  - where
    - $A \in V$  is the head of the production
    - " $\rightarrow$ " is the production symbol
    - $\gamma \in \{V \cup \Sigma\}^*$  is the body of the production

### Formal definition:examples



- $Crocost L_{exp}$
- $-G_{exp} = (\{E\}, \{+, *, (, ), a\}, E, P)$ Where  $P = \{E \to E + E \mid E * \underline{E} \mid (E) \mid a\}$

Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 20

### Productions

If  $\alpha$  is a string of the form

 $\alpha_1 A \alpha_2$ 

and there is a production of form

- $A \rightarrow \gamma$
- then  $\alpha$  can by substituted or rewritten by  $\beta$  of form  $\alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2$
- We say that  $\alpha$  derives  $\beta$  or  $\beta$  is derived from  $\alpha$  in one step in *G*:
- $\alpha \Rightarrow_G \beta$

Why context-free?

– Substitution can be performed regardless the form of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ 

is Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 200

# **Derivations of CFG**

- Derivation in Pal:
- $P \Rightarrow_G 0P0 \Rightarrow_G 01P10 \Rightarrow_G 01\Lambda 10 = 0110$
- Derivation in  $L = 0^n 1^n$ -  $P \Rightarrow 0P1 \Rightarrow 00P11 \Rightarrow 00\Lambda 11 = 0011$
- If it is clear what is G, we just write " $\Rightarrow$ "

### Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 20

## Derivations of CFG

\*-derivation: derivations in zero or more steps in a grammar G:

 $\alpha \Rightarrow^*_G \beta$ 

- either  $\alpha = \beta$
- or there is a  $k \ge 1$  and strings  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ , with  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha_k = \beta$  so that  $\alpha_i \Rightarrow_G \alpha_{i+1}$  for every *i* such that  $0 \le i \le k-1$
- Examples:
  - $P \Rightarrow^{*}_{Pal} 0110$
- $P \Rightarrow^*_L 0011$

### How many derivations are there?

• *Exp* is a CFG

- $G_{exp} = (\{E\}, \{+, *, (, ), a\}, E, P)$ where  $P = \{E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid a\}$
- A derivation of  $a + (a * a) \in Exp$ 
  - $E \Rightarrow E + E \Rightarrow a + E \Rightarrow a + (E) \Rightarrow a + (E * E)$  $\Rightarrow a + (E * a) \Rightarrow a + (a * a)$
  - There can be many ways to derive a string!
  - Are they all equivalent?

















# **Derivations and Parse Trees**

- If there is a derivation there is a recursive inference
- If there is a recursive inference there is a parse tree
- If there is a parse three there are leftmost and rightmost derivations
- If there are leftmost and rightmost derivations there is a derivation!

. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 200



Let  $G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$  be a CFG. The language generated by G is:

 $L(G) = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Longrightarrow^*_G x\}$ 

- A language L is a *context-free language* (CFL) if there is a CFG G so that L = L(G)
- Sentential forms: derivations from the start symbol
- L(G) consists of the sentential forms in Σ\*
  Derivations from the start symbol that have no variables

