Session 9

NFA are FA: The subset construction

NFA are FA: the subset construction

- For any NFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ accepting the language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, there is a FA $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, q_1, A_1, \delta_1)$ that accepts L
- Search algorithms illustrate how all branches of a nondeterministic path can be explore deterministically
- -M: NFA is an specification
- $-M_1$: DFA is the implementation!
- Given an specification it is always possible to find an implementation (an algorithm) automatically!
- For all NFA there is an algorithm to find its equivalent DFA

 $\delta(q_0, 1) = \{q_1, q_2\}$ $\delta(q_0, 0) = \{q_4\}$

Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 20















The states of the subset construction

The the states (and names) of M_1 are defined out of the states (and their names) of M:

- M_1 is defined as follows:
- The set of states of M_1 : $Q_1 = 2^Q$,
- The initial state of M_1 : $q_1 = \{q_0\}$
- If *M* has 5 states:
- Then, M_1 has $2^5 = 32$ possible states!

If <i>M</i> has 5 states:		0	1
– Then, M_1 has $2^5 = 32$ possible	$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_4\}$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$
states!	q_1	φ	$\{q_0\}$
- Fortunately we only needed 6!	q_2	φ	$\{q_3\}$
	q_3	$\{q_0\}$	¢
	$*q_4$	φ	¢
Dr. Luis Pine	da, IIMAS,	UNAM & (OSU-CIS, 2003

The power set 2^Q

No. the sets of n objects taken r at the time

$$C_r^n = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)}$$

M has 5 states so, we have:

– The empty state: φ

- -5 states made of 1 state: $\{q_0\}, \{q_1\}, \{q_2\}, \{q_3\}, \{q_4\}$
- -10 states made of 2 states: $\{q_0q_1\}, \{q_0q_2\}, \{q_0q_3\}, \dots$
- 10 states made of 3 states: $\{q_0q_1q_2\}, \{q_0q_2q_3\}, \{q_0q_3q_4\}, \dots$
- -5 states made of 4 states: $\{\overline{q_0q_1q_2}q_3\}, \{\overline{q_0q_1q_2q_4}\}, \dots$
 - Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 2003

A binary code for the names of states in M_1

The state composed of q_1 and q_2 :

States of M	q_0	q_1	q_2	q_3	q_4
Name of state in M_1	0	1	0	1	0

There $2^{|Q|}$ possible states in M_1 (32 in this case)

Each binary numeral from 0 to 31 corresponds to the name of one of these states

The possible entries in δ_1 : $q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$ $q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$ 01000 ie. 01011 is the state $0q_10q_3q_4 = q_1q_3q_4$ Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 20

The	pos	ssib	le e	entries in	ι δ ₁ :	
$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1		$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1
10000				11000		
10001				11001		
10010				11010		
10011				11011		
10100				11100		
10101				11101		
10111				11110		
10111				11111		
			J Dr	. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, U	NAM & C	SU-CIS, 2

NFA are FA: the subset construction

The set of states of M_1 : $Q_1 = 2^Q$,

- The initial state of M_1 : $q_1 = \{q_0\}$
- The set of accepting states of M_1 :

– All states whose name has the pattern XXXX1 have a q_4 , and are accepting states

The NFA M:

	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_4\}$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$
q_1	¢	$\{q_0\}$
q_2	φ	$\{q_3\}$
q_3	$\{q_0\}$	φ
$*q_4$	¢	φ



	De	finition	0	$f \delta_1$		
$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1				
00000					0	1
$\rightarrow 10000$				$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_{4}\}$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$
				q_1	¢	$\{q_0\}$
				q_2	¢	$\{q_3\}$
				q_3	$\{q_0\}$	¢
				$*q_4$	¢	φ
$00000 = \phi, q_0 = 10000, q_1 = 01000 \text{ and } q_4 = 00001$						

	De	finitior	n of a	δ ₁		
$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1				
00000					0	1
$\rightarrow 10000$	00001	01100	\rightarrow	q_0	$\{q_4\}$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$
				q_1	φ	$\{q_0\}$
				<i>q</i> ₂	φ	$\{q_3\}$
			4	<i>q</i> 3	$\{q_0\}$	¢
			*	q_4	¢	¢
$δ_1(10000, 0)$ $δ_1(10000, 1)$						

uis Pineda. IIMAS. UNAM & OSU-CIS. 200

De	finition	of δ_1
0	1	
00001	01100	$\rightarrow q_0$
¢	¢	q_1
		q_2
		q_3
		*q4
	0	

	. 01		
I		0	1
	$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_4\}$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$
	q_1	¢	$\{q_0\}$
	q_2	φ	$\{q_3\}$
	q_3	$\{q_0\}$	φ
	$*q_4$	¢	φ

 $\delta_1(00001, 0) = \delta(q_4, 0) = \phi$ $\delta_1(00001, 1) = \delta(q_1, 1) = \phi$

Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 2003



$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1			
00000	φ	φ		0	1
$\rightarrow 10000$	00001	01100	$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_4\}$	$\{q_1, q\}$
*00001	φ	φ	q_1	φ	$\{q_0\}$
	¢	10010	q_2	¢	$\{q_3\}$
			q_3	$\{q_0\}$	φ
			*q4	¢	¢

Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 200

D	efir	nition	of	δ_1

$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1		
00000	φ	φ		
$\rightarrow 10000$	00001	01100	$\rightarrow q_0$	{0
*00001	φ	φ	q_1	
01100	φ	10010	q_2	
10010	10001	01100	q_3	-{0
			*q_	

 $\begin{aligned} &\delta_{1}(10010, 0) = \delta(q_{0}, 0) \\ &\delta_{1}(10010, 1) = \delta(q_{0}, 1) \\ & 0 \\ &\delta_{1}(10010, 1) = \delta(q_{0}, 1) \\ & 0 \\ &\delta_{1}(10010, 1) = \delta(q_{0}, 1) \\ & 0 \\ &\delta_{1}(10010, 1) \\ & 0 \\ & \delta_{1}(10010, 1) \\ & \delta_{1}(1000, 1) \\ & \delta_{1}(1$

1 $\{q_1, q_2\}$

 q_{4}

¢

 q_0

Definition of δ ₁						
$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1				
00000	φ	φ				
$\rightarrow 10000$	00001	01100	$\rightarrow q_0$			
*00001	φ	φ	q_1			
01100	φ	10010	q_2			
10010	10001	01100	q_3			
*10001	00001	01100	$*q_A$			

$$\begin{split} &\delta_1(10001, 0) = \delta(q_0, 0) \ \cup \ \delta(q_4, 0) = \{q_4\} \\ &\delta_1(10001, 1) = \delta(q_0, 1) \ \cup \ \delta(q_4, 1) = \{q_1, q_2\} \end{split}$$

 $\{q_4\}$

ø

 $\{q_1, q_2\}$

φ

	De	finition	I O	$f \delta_1$		
$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	0	1				
00000	φ	ф			0	1
$\rightarrow 10000$	00001	01100		$\rightarrow q_0$	$\{q_4\}$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$
*00001	φ	φ		q_1	¢	$\{q_0\}$
01100	φ	10010		q_2	¢	$\{q_3\}$
10010	10001	01100		q_3	$\{q_0\}$	¢
*10001	00001	01100		$*q_4$	¢	¢
We get closure: There are no more						

possible transitions!

r = 01100

The resulting DFA

$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	Names FA	0	1
00000	S	¢	φ
→10000	$\rightarrow q_0$	00001	01100
*00001	*p	φ	φ
01100	r	φ	10010
10010	t	10001	01100
*10001	*и	00001	01100

Renaming the states

Renaming the states!				
2 ₂ q ₃ q ₄	Names FA	0	1	
000	S	$s = \phi$	$s = \phi$	
000	$\rightarrow q_0$	<i>p</i> = 00001	r = 01100	
001	*p	$s = \phi$	$s = \phi$	
100	r	$\phi = s$	t = 10010	

u = 10001

p = 00001

 $\rightarrow 10$

*10001

Renaming the states!

$q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4$	Names FA	0	1
00000	S	S	s
$\rightarrow 10000$	$\rightarrow q_0$	р	r
*00001	*p	S	S
01100	r	S	t
10010	t	и	r
*10001	*u	р	r

Renaming the states!	The equivalent DFA!
Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 2003	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$



NFA are FA

 M_1 accepts the same language as M follows from the fact that for any $x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\delta_1^*(q_1, x) = \delta^*(q_0, x)$$

This is proved by induction!

Dr. Luis Pineda, IIMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 200



$$\delta_{1}^{*}(q_{1}, x) = \delta^{*}(q_{0}, x)$$
The base case: If $x = \Lambda$

$$\delta_{1}^{*}(q_{1}, x) = \delta_{1}^{*}(q_{1}, \Lambda)$$

$$= q_{1} \quad (by \text{ definition of } \delta_{1}^{*})$$

$$= \{q_{0}\} \quad (by \text{ definition of } q_{1})$$

$$= \delta^{*}(q_{0}, \Lambda) \quad (by. \text{ def. of } \delta^{*})$$

$$= \delta^{*}(q_{0}, x)$$
Dr. Luis Pineda, IMAS, UNAM & OSU-CIS, 2003

The induction hypothesis: $\delta_1^*(q_1, x) = \delta^*(q_0, x)$				
We wish to prove that for any $a \in \Sigma$, $\delta_1^*(q_1, xa) = \delta^*(q_0, xa)$				
1 (21)	$= \delta_1(\delta_1^*(q_1, x), a)$ $= \delta_1(\delta^*(q_0, x), a)$ $= \bigcup_{r \in \delta^*(q_0, x)} \delta(r, a)$	 (by definition of δ_i[*]) (by the induction hypothesis) (by def. of δ₁: the subset construction) 		
	$=\delta^*(q_0,xa)$ (by	,		



